Intro:

Today we are going to explain something we've assumed for the last couple of lessons, the makeup of these major text-types we've been talking about. We are also going to go into more detail in the argument for and against the major text-types. This will help us understand the issues a little more.

Title slide: picture of a leaf of Sinaiticus, showing 2 Th 3.11-18, Heb 1.1-2 with a scribal note between columns 1-2: "Fool and knave, leave the old reading, don't change it."

I. The text-types of the Greek New Testament more thoroughly explained

- A. Definitions
 - 1. Colwell: "This suggest[s] that the quantitative definition of a text-type is a group of manuscripts that agree more than 70 per cent of the time and is separated by a gap of about ten percent from its neighbors."¹
 - 2. Maurice Robinson: "[A text-type seems to be found in] a shared *pattern* of readings held in common in a significant degree by member MSS to the exclusion of the presence of competing patterns in a proportionally significant quantity."²

[Note: some problems with both definitions]

B. The contents of four generally accepted NT text types

Note: Mapping of MSS types is only roughly approximate – some exceptions to geographical locations in some/most types

- 1. Alexandrian
 - a. Most papyri
 - b. Sinaiticus
 - c. Vaticanus
 - d. Located around Alexandria, Egypt, generally1) Origen

² Private correspondence, quoted by ibid.

¹ Ernest C. Colwell and Ernest W. Tune, "Method in Establishing Quantitative Relationships between Text-Types of New Testament Manuscripts," reprinted in Studies in Methodology, p. 59, quoted by "Text-Types and Textual Kinship", n.d.,

http://www.skypoint.com/members/waltzmn/TextTypes.html (accessed July 27, 2013).

- 2) Clement
- 3) Athanasius

2. Western

- a. Certain Greek manuscripts
- b. Translations, especially Latin
- c. Found generally in western part of empire, but boundaries not as precise.
- 3. Caesarean
 - a. Found in P45 and Family 1
 - b. Disputed by some
 - c. Located in Palestine, basically
 - d. (Syriac text-type similar, perhaps indistinguishable)
- 4. Byzantine
 - a. Vast majority of later manuscripts and minuscules
 - b. Located in eastern end of empire, around Turkey and Syria

II. Historical influences affecting copying

- A. The difference between Christianity and Judaism
 - 1. Judaism was isolated to the Jews primarily
 - 2. Hebrew spoken <u>only</u> by the Jews
 - 3. Christianity wrote the NT in Greek, the <u>common</u> language of the empire
 - 4. Christianity went out to "all people" so many different <u>kinds</u> of people had access to NT.
 - 5. "Rather than being limited to <u>trained</u> scribes, we discover that businessmen, soldiers, and even literate slaves often made <u>personal</u> copies of one of the Gospels so as to be able to read about their Lord Jesus."
 - 6. Less <u>trained copyists</u> would tend to make more errors than <u>trained</u> <u>scribes</u>.
- B. The change from Greek to Latin
 - 1. The Western part of the empire changed from speaking Greek to Latin
 - 2. Eastern part continued to speak Greek.
 - 3. Thus less demand for Greek manuscripts in West and Africa

- 1. Beginning in Arabia, moved to Palestine
- 2. Next to North Africa
- 3. Then to Spain and southern France
- 4. Only overcame Constantinople (Byzantium) in the 15th century.
- 5. Thus only Greek speaking area in former Roman empire was the region around <u>Constantinople</u>, from whence came the bulk of the Greek manuscripts.

III. The reasoning for the two main views considered

- A. Competing viewpoints
 - 1. Pro-Alexandrian: Alexandrian represents older readings, therefore more <u>reliable</u> — Byzantine readings result of pious scholars <u>combining</u> (conflating) variant readings
 - Pro-Byzantine: Byzantine may be on "newer" manuscripts but represent "older" readings — No <u>evidence</u> of Alexandrian readings past the 4th or 5th c. — Alexandrian not used because considered <u>corrupt</u>
- B. Reasoning through the views
 - 1. Disuse = mistrust
 - a. Even if used excessively, surely <u>some</u> ancient Byzantine mss. would still have <u>survived</u> somewhere
 - b. Theory is an argument from $\underline{silence} cannot be proven$
 - c. No <u>real</u> Byzantine readings pre 4^{th} c.
 - 2. Malicious corruption influenced Alexandrian text?
 - a. Origen favorite target
 - 1) It is <u>true</u> that Origen had many aberrant beliefs
 - 2) But he is well known for his work in textual areas:
 - a) Textual work is <u>objective</u> theology is <u>subjective</u>
 - b) Compiled "Hexapla", a book with 6 different sets of scriptures running parallel on each page.

- b. Yet Alexandria had its share of <u>orthodox</u> Christians, Byzantium its share of <u>heretics</u>
 - 1) Alexandria: Athanasius, great defender of the deity of Christ
 - 2) Many Arians around Antioch and Byzantium (Denied deity of Christ)