1 of 4 **Text:** 1 Pt 2.3 Last week we talked about the command to crave "milk of the word" NAU, KIV "spiritual milk" ESV, NET, ASV, NIV "the pure mental milk of the word" (Darby) "the rational milk without guile" (Douay-Rheims)

1 Pt 2.3

2021 Nov 3

Exciting the Spiritual Palate

211103.1Pt02.03.docx

1 & 2 Peter

cloaks we wrap around our hearts to keep the light out. Remember, in defining the "milk of the word," I included the spiritual

sermon. His message is from the word of God, he isn't contradicting the word... on the contrary, he is simply preaching and applying the word.

things: sermons, hymns, worship services, along with the actual Word itself. If you have a cynical attitude, you will find yourself questioning authority, truth, and all these spiritual inputs. For example, I've found myself resisting something a preacher said in a

"that reasonable mylke which is with out corrupcion" (Tyndale)

we develop the craving for "spiritual milk" or the "milk of the word."

We learned that we can't develop this craving in an atmosphere of malice, deceit, hypocrisy, envy, or slander, so we must rid ourselves of these even as

The things we must rid ourselves of are attitudes (primarily). They are the

assign an evil motive to the speaker: "who is he to talk?" deceive me: "that's really not me" which leads to a cloak of hypocrisy or even speak evil of the preacher

We can do all of that with the very word of God itself. Well, that's last week's message.

What does my resisting heart do? Well, it might

But I didn't give the full picture last week. I left off a vital part of the sentence, verse 3.

Read 1 Pt 2.1-3 Remember, I also told you that this is in an unusual word order for English, and

we could read it backwards and still make sense. © Donald C S Johnson Grace Baptist Church of Victoria Verse 3 is the first idea of the sentence – it's a conditional statement. Peter

1 Pt 2.3

2021 Nov 3

Exciting the Spiritual Palate

kindness of the Lord"

is good

1. Tasting:

Read 1 Pt 2. 3, 2, 1

1 & 2 Peter

a. "'partake of someth. by mouth', liquid or solid" b. "By extension, in imagery of experiencing or coming to know someth. esp. death)"1

2. The kindness of the Lord (KJV = "the Lord is gracious")

a. KJV a bit more accurate here, ESV "the Lord is good"

b. The idea is that someone has tried the Lord out and found that he c. Every Christian has done this:

1) They saw they could not save themselves 2) They saw the Lord did all to save them

 $^{^{}m 1}$ Frederick William Danker and Kathryn Krug, The Concise Greek–English Lexicon of the New

Testament (Chicago: The University of Chicago, 2009). © Donald C S Johnson Grace Baptist Church of Victoria 211103.1Pt02.03.docx

Our testimony: "the Lord IS good"

C. Putting this in a conditional statement makes it sound like it is uncertain if you have tasted the kindness of the Lord

1. Have you? 2. Are you sure?

As a conditional statement, this is a little unsettling... but there is more

II. Identifying the uncertainty

1. As noted, we could translate with since (a little crutch for 1st yr Gk students)

"[Since] you have tasted the kindness of the Lord"

A. The mistake of the 1st year Greek student: "don't use 'since'"

2. The problem is that it takes the "punch" out of the verse

B. A comparison passage

1Th 4.14 For if we believe that Jesus died and rose again, even so

God will bring with Him those who have fallen asleep in Jesus.

Wallace: "Many modern translations render the particle since. Although it is certainly true that Paul embraced this as true, to translate it as since keeps the audience at an arm's length. The sentence becomes a lecture

rather than a dialogue. By translating it if, the audience is drawn into the argument of the apodosis. Their response would be something like, 'If we believe that Jesus died and rose again? Of course we believe that! You mean that this indicates that the dead in Christ will not miss out on the rapture?' In such instances it is not the ["if"] that is in doubt, but the ["then"]. ... Not infrequently in the NT, the speaker draws his audience to just such a connection, basing his argument on what both speaker and audience already embrace as true. These instances are not without

2021 Nov 3

1 Pt 2.3

exegetical significance."2

 $^{^{}m 2}$ Daniel B. Wallace, Greek Grammar Beyond the Basics: An Exegetical Syntax of the New

Testament (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1996), 694. © Donald C S Johnson

1. "If you have tasted the kindness of the Lord" [unexpressed, "of course we have"1

D. However, don't miss Wallace's point: it is the "then" statement that is

2. THEN "crave the sincere milk of the word"

Since you have tasted the kindness of the Lord

Then crave the sincere milk of the word

Here is the uncertainty — will you do this? Will you crave "soul food?"

III. Repenting our self-sufficiency

3. Putting off malice etc.

uncertain

A. Our problem when it comes to the word of God is self-sufficiency

- 1. The very first temptation in the garden appealed to human selfsufficiency a. You can see the fruit is good
- c. You should take advantage of this (God is holding out on you) 2. In many ways, every temptation is a temptation to operate

independently of God, to be self-sufficient

B. The fact is, we desperately need our God: we need to depend on him

b. You know it will give you knowledge (knowledge is good, right)

- We need to CRAVE the word
- 2. If our attitudes prevent that, get rid of the attitudes
- 3. Crave the Word ... because ... we have tasted the goodness of the Lord!!

211103.1Pt02.03.docx

1 & 2 Peter

Conclusion:

Purge the inner man of the destructive spirit

- What we need to do, then, is cultivate a taste for the sincere milk of the word.
- Fill the inner man with the life giving word © Donald C S Johnson